1	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney RICHARD J. BENDER
3	Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100
4	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2731
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR.S-88-0272 WBS GGH
10	Plaintiff,) MOTION TO CORRECT SERVICE OF
11	v.) MOTION TO CORRECT SERVICE OF MOTION TO DISMISS AND SETTING FURTHER SCHEDULE; ORDER
12	ROBERT JOE MURRAY, :
13	Defendant.)
14	
15	Because of a misunderstanding by government counsel as to who was responsible for service
16	of pleadings under the relatively new electronic filing system, government counsel neglected to serve
17	upon the pro se defendant its Motion to Dismiss the defendant's most recent § 2255 motion. As a
18	result, the defendant (pro per) never received a copy of the motion and, thus, had no opportunity to
19	respond to it within the time limits previously set. To correct this situation, it is therefore requested
20	that the following new schedule be adopted:
21	Government Counsel to mail a copy of its motion to dismiss to defendant by: May 9, 2005
22	
23	Defendant's response to motion to dismiss filed by: May 30, 2005
24	//
25	//
26	//
27	//
28	//

Government counsel has confirmed the address of the defendant and will file a certificate of service to memorialize service of the motion. After May 30, 2005, assuming no further extensions of time are granted by the Court, the matter shall be submitted for a ruling on the motion to dismiss. Dated: March 10, 2005 McGREGOR W. SCOTT U.S. Attorney by $/_{\rm S}/$ RICHARD J. BENDER Assistant U.S. Attorney It is so ORDERED, this 6th day of May, 2005 allism Br Sunto WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE